

What can the L3 $\gamma\gamma ll$ events be?

Robert Garisto and John N. Ng

TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C., V6T 2A3, Canada

We consider the 4 $\gamma\gamma ll$ ($l = \mu, e$) events reported by the L3 collaboration, and go through the logical possibilities which could explain the events. If they are not coincidental bremsstrahlung events, we find that the physics which they could point to is extremely limited. One possibility would be to have a new 60 GeV scalar (or pseudoscalar) particle X^0 with an off-diagonal coupling to a Z and Z' which is non-perturbative ($\alpha > 1$), where the Z' couplings to $\nu\bar{\nu}$ are suppressed. One could also construct a model involving X^0 , and a second scalar X'^0 with a large $X' ll$ coupling. We do not promote either of these models, but hope they would prove to be useful guidelines, should the L3 events turn out to be new physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the L3 collaboration at LEP reported four unusual $\gamma\gamma ll$ events (there are three events with $l = \mu$ and one with $l = e$) with an $M_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 60\text{GeV}$, in a sample of 10^6 Z decays [1]. Though it is unusual to have bremsstrahlung events with such a high invariant mass, the lepton-photon angles are quite small for three of the four events, and the L3 collaboration estimates that the probability of having such events coming from bremsstrahlung due to chance is as large as 0.1% [1].

For the purposes of this paper, we will assume that the events are not due to bremsstrahlung, and instead speculate on the kind of new physics they would imply. There would have to be a new spin zero neutral particle of mass 60 GeV, which we call X^0 . We find that there are only two reasonable scenarios: the new particle (X^0), would have to couple to the Z and to a new Z' with a coupling constant $\alpha_{XZZ'}$ which is $\gtrsim 1$, where the $Z'\nu\nu$ coupling is suppressed; or there would have to be a non-Higgs scalar X'^0 with a huge universal $X'll$ coupling. The $X'll$ coupling could not be proportional to the lepton mass, or else there would be far too many $\gamma\gamma\tau\tau$ events (none have been seen yet) and far too few $\gamma\gamma ee$ events. There would also have to be a fairly large ZXX' coupling: $\alpha_{ZXX'} \gtrsim 1/3$. Our goal is not to promote either of these models (they are not even formulated in a gauge invariant way!), but rather to chart out what kinds of models would need to be considered should the L3 data prove to be new physics.

II. POSSIBILITIES

We consider the various possibilities for new physics to explain the four L3 events. There are two topologies through which the process can proceed: the s channel ($ee \rightarrow A^{0(*)} \rightarrow X^0(\gamma\gamma)B^{0*}(ll)$) and t channel ($ee \rightarrow ee A^{0*}B^{0*} \rightarrow ee X^0(\gamma\gamma)$) (see figures 1 and 2). By the well known result of Landau and Yang [2], X^0 can only be a scalar or pseudoscalar. The t channel is only important if A and B are photons. As we will see, the s channel must have $A = Z$ and $B = Z'$ or X' , where Z' (X') is a new spin 1 (spin 0) boson.

There are two problems associated with producing four $\gamma\gamma ll$ events: producing enough X^0 's, and having $B(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. It is possible to construct a two Higgs doublet model with the second property by having one of the Higgs doublets decouple from fermions [3], though such a model would require a fine-tuning of order 10^{-3} [4]. We will assume that either through some symmetry or fine tuning that $B(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, and concentrate on the first problem.

The production problem is much more difficult. Consider the s channel. Since LEP sits

on the Z pole, the largest cross section will come for $A^0 = Z^0$. For $B(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, we need $B(Z \rightarrow XB^*(l))$ to be of order 2×10^{-6} (for each l). A Standard Model (SM) hZZ vertex gives a branching ratio only of order 0.4×10^{-6} [4], which is five times too small. But we are not even allowed a diagonal coupling, because if B were also a Z , L3 would have seen about 12 $\gamma\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ events [4], which were not observed [1]. Therefore B cannot be a Z , and the XAB coupling will be off-diagonal.

There are then three possible ways to produce the $\gamma\gamma ll$ events from new physics: via an XZZ' or ZXX' coupling through the s channel, or via the t channel using only the $X\gamma\gamma$ vertex, which would be similar to producing a heavy pion. The t channel production cross section of such a heavy pion is enhanced by $|\ln(\sqrt{s}/2m_e)|^2$ and is proportional to $\Gamma(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/M_x^3$ [5]. Such a heavy pion could produce several $\gamma\gamma ee$ events (in 27 pb^{-1}) if $\Gamma(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ were a few hundred keV. One might worry about three photon events (with $M_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 60 \text{ GeV}$) coming from the s channel, which would have been found easily, but it turns out that a particle of this width would produce less than one event at LEP. Unfortunately there is no way to generate enough $\gamma\gamma\mu\mu$ events. If this were the mechanism, we would expect to see many more $\gamma\gamma ee$ than $\gamma\gamma\mu\mu$ events, contrary to observation, so the heavy pion scenario is ruled out.

The best possibility is to have $A = Z$ (on shell), and $B = Z'$. This new Z' boson must couple weakly to neutrinos (the ratio of ν to l couplings squared must be about 1/12 the SM value), and cannot mix too strongly with the SM Z , or else we again get too many $\gamma\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ events. Further, the XZZ diagonal coupling must be small ($\alpha_{XZZ} \sim \alpha_1$) for the same reason. There are two new couplings which come into the X^0 production cross section as depicted in figure 1: the XZZ' coupling and the $Z'll$ coupling, which we write as

$$\begin{aligned} XZZ' : & \quad g_{XZZ'} M'_Z g^{\mu\nu} \\ Z'll : & \quad \frac{g_2}{\cos\theta_W} \gamma^\mu (g_V(l)' + g_A(l)'\gamma^5) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where we have used the favorable value of M'_Z for the off-diagonal coupling. We can use (1) to write

$$B(Z \rightarrow X Z'^*(l)) \sim g_{XZZ'}^2 \lambda_{Z'u}^2, \quad (2)$$

where we define

$$\lambda_{Z'u}^2 \equiv \frac{(g_2/\cos\theta_W)^2 (g_V(l)'^2 + g_A(l)'^2)}{M_Z'^2}. \quad (3)$$

We have neglected the momentum dependence of the propagator, but that effect is small and tends to cancel with that of the bounds on $\lambda_{Z'u}^2$. In return, we have put all dependence on M_Z' and the couplings into one parameter which we can constrain. If we make the minimal assumptions about Z' (*i.e.* that the only $Z'ff$ couplings which are necessarily non-zero are those of $Z'll$), we can get constraints on $\lambda_{Z'u}^2$ only from leptonic processes such as $e^+e^- \rightarrow l^+l^-$ and $(g-2)$ experiments. The latter turns out to be a weaker constraint [6], so we use data from TRISTAN [7,8] on the former to obtain the conservative estimate

$$\frac{\lambda_{Z'u}^2}{\lambda_{Zu}^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{4}. \quad (4)$$

Using this estimate we find that the off-diagonal XZZ' coupling constant must be greater than one (even though $\alpha_{XZZ} \sim \alpha_1$),

$$\alpha_{XZZ'} \equiv \frac{g_{XZZ'}^2}{4\pi} \gtrsim \frac{1}{B(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}, \quad (5)$$

implying that the theory is non-perturbative. Such a large coupling could also cause problems with the ρ parameter, though this cannot be addressed until one has a better understanding of the underlying theory which includes X and Z' .

The remaining possibility involves a second scalar X'^0 with unusual couplings. One cannot simply let $B = X$ because then X couples strongly to fermions, and $B(X \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is again small. The X' coupling constant to charged leptons, $g_{X'u}$, cannot be proportional to m_l , because then there would be too many $\gamma\gamma\tau\tau$ events and not enough $\gamma\gamma ee$ events. For a scalar lepton coupling, $g_{X'u}$ would need to be *huge*. Because of this, X' can actually give a fairly large contribution to $(g-2)$, though the $e^+e^- \rightarrow l^+l^-$ constraint is still better. Using TRISTAN data [7], we find (using a rough estimate) that $g_{X'u}^2 \lesssim r'^2/50$, which implies that

$$\alpha_{ZXX'} \gtrsim r'^2/3, \quad (6)$$

where $r' \equiv M'_X/M_Z$. It is possible that this theory is perturbative, depending upon what bounds one can put on M'_X in the full theory. We can at least say that $M'_X > 30$ GeV because otherwise X' could be on shell, and the leptons would have an invariant mass equal to M'_X , which is not observed [1].

Next we investigate the possible effect of X' on the electron mass. We will have to allow X'^0 to have a small vacuum expectation value (VEV) at tree level so as to allow for the counterterms we will need to cancel the divergences coming from tadpole diagrams (see figure 3). This could in principle give a contribution to m_e larger than the observed value. For an estimate of the size of this effect, we take the renormalized VEV to be just the finite size of the tadpole diagram in figure 3, which goes as $g_{X'ff}m_f^3/M_X'^2$. This implies that the $X'tt$ coupling has to be negligible (or exactly zero) in order that the contribution to the electron mass, Δm_e , is smaller than m_e . If only $g_{X'll}$ is non-zero, $\Delta m_e < 1$ keV.

III. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have discussed possible models to explain the four L3 $\gamma\gamma ll$ events and concluded that the spectrum of choices is extremely limited, because of the large production cross section for X^0 , and because there have been no $\gamma\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ events seen. There are still two classes of models containing a 60 GeV spin 0 neutral particle, which are not immediately ruled out, employing a new Z' vector boson or new X' non-Higgs scalar.

They both proceed through figure 1 with $A = Z$, $B = Z'$ and $A = Z$, $B = X'$, respectively. The heavy pion production scenario of figure 2 is ruled out because it cannot produce enough $\gamma\gamma\mu\mu$ events. The Z' must couple about twelve times more weakly to $\nu\nu$ relative to ll than in the SM and cannot mix too strongly with the SM Z . This model has an $\alpha_{XZZ} \sim \alpha_1$, but an $\alpha_{XZZ'}$ which is > 1 , which implies the theory is non-perturbative.

The X' must have non-Higgs-like $X'll$ couplings which are not proportional to fermion mass. The theory may or may not be perturbative, depending upon the size of M'_X .

Any complete theory based upon either model may fail to give a small enough value for the ρ parameter, or fail to maintain VV scattering unitarity. If no $\gamma\gamma\tau\tau$ events are found, both theories would require non-universal generational couplings. Discovery of a small number of $\gamma\gamma\nu\nu$ events would not rule out either model, but would point to a non-zero effective XZZ or $Z'\nu\nu$ coupling. If more $\gamma\gamma ff$ events were found, one could easily distinguish between the two classes of theories by looking at the decay spectra of the ff pair, since Z' (X') is spin 1 (spin 0).

Although neither model is very attractive, and both still need to be formulated in a gauge invariant way, we believe it is useful to know what kind of new physics one has to consider to explain such a rich collection of “rare Z ” events.

REFERENCES

- [1] L3 Collab., preprint CERN-PPE/92-152 (1992).
- [2] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 77, (1950), 242; L. Landau, Doklady Akad. Nauk. 60, (1948), 207.
- [3] J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA (1990); H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane and T. Sterling, Nucl. Phys. B161, (1979), 493.
- [4] V. Barger, N. Deshpande, J. Hewett, T. Rizzo, preprint MAD-PH-728 (1992).
- [5] F. Low, Phys. Rev. 120, (1960), 582.
- [6] P. Méry, S. Moubarik, M. Perrottet, F. Renard, Z. Phys., C46, (1990), 229.
- [7] VENUS Collab., Phys Lett. B246, (1990), 297.
- [8] K.Hagiwara, R. Najima, M. Sakuda, N. Terunuma, Phys. Rev. D41, (1990), 815.